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FePO$_4$/LiFePO$_4$ (FP/LFP) interfacial strain, giving rise to substantial variation in interfacial energy and lattice volume, is inevitable in the (de)lithiation process of LiFePO$_4$, a prototype of Li ion battery cathodes. Extensive theoretical and experimental research has been focused on the effect of lattice strain energy on FP/LFP interface propagation orientation and cyclic stability of the electrode. However, the essential effect of strain induced lattice distortion on Li$^+$ transport at the FP/LFP interface is typically overlooked. In this report, a coherent interface model is derived to evaluate quantitatively the correlation between FP/LFP lattice distortion and Li$^+$ conduction. The results illustrate that the effect of lattice strain on Li$^+$ conduction depends strongly on FP/LFP interface orientations. Lattice strain induces a 90% decrease of Li$^+$ conductivity in ac-plane oriented (de)lithiation at room temperature. The opposite effect of lattice strain on delithiation and lithiation for ab- and bc-orientations is elucidated. In addition, the effect of lattice strain tends to be more pronounced at a lower working temperature. This study provides an efficient platform to comprehend and manipulate Li$^+$ conduction in the charge and discharge of lithium ion batteries, the large-scale application of which is frequently challenged by limited in-cell ion conduction.

© 2016 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4942849]

LiFePO$_4$ (LFP) is a commercialized cathode material employed frequently in electrical vehicle (EV) batteries due to its high safety, long cyclic life, and low cost. However, the material is still subject to insightful study for improvement in its capacity and rate performance. For instance, carbon coating strategy has been employed to improve the poor intrinsic electronic conductivity, and particle size reduction and aliovalent doping have been adopted to enhance the Li$^+$ conductivity. Compared to the electronic conduction, the low Li$^+$ conductivity is still the limiting factor for the fast kinetics of charge/discharge process. The rather limited Li solubility in LiFePO$_4$ and FePO$_4$ (FP) suggests that (de)lithiation in this material proceeds with a two-phase mechanism, where the relative LiFePO$_4$:FePO$_4$ phase ratio varies with moving phase boundary, as first proposed by Padhi et al., and elucidated further by subsequent experimental observations. The delithiated phase FePO$_4$ has essentially the same structure as LiFePO$_4$, yet with a ~6% reduction in cell volume. Such a lattice mismatch causes interfacial strain, which impacts largely the electrochemical performance in the battery (de)lithiation of LiFePO$_4$ as cathode. Van der Ven et al. have illustrated the effect of coherency strains on the thermodynamics of two-phase coexistence and the voltage profile during Li (de)intercalation of LiFePO$_4$. Tang et al. have revealed that the misfit strain energy has a considerable influence on FP/LFP phase transformation orientation and morphology. Through analysis of interfacial energy and coherency strain energy, Abdellahi et al. have shown that the preferred interface orientation for FP/LFP phase transformation is dependent on both particle size and particle morphology. Despite the aforementioned studies, quantitative investigation into the effect of FP/LFP interfacial strain induced lattice cell volume variation ($\Delta V$) on Li$^+$ transport at FP/LFP interface is still lacking. In this study, FP/LFP interfacial strain arising from the lattice mismatch is investigated by building a coherent interface elastic model. The quantitative correlations between lattice strain and lattice cell volume variation and between the lattice cell volume variation and the activation energy change of Li$^+$ transport ($\Delta G$) are both illustrated. Temperature effect on strain induced Li$^+$ transport is also given. Our work provides a model to understand the Li$^+$ transport in LiFePO$_4$ and other two-phase electrode materials for lithium ion batteries (LIBs), and facilitates the optimization of LIBs with superior rate performance.
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interfacial strain on Li\(^+\) conduction. Due to the anisotropy of Li\(^+\) diffusion preferable along [010] direction,\(^{19-21}\) Li\(^+\) diffusion paths at typical bc-, ac-, and ab-FP/LFP interfaces are demarked by red arrows for the delithiation path in the LFP phase and green arrows for the lithiation path in the FP phase. As shown in Fig. 1, Li\(^+\) diffuses along bc and ab interfaces in bc- and ab-oriented (de)lithiation, and diffuses across the ac interface for ac-oriented (de)lithiation. For bc- and ab-oriented two-phase transformation, the charge rate is dependent on the delithiation in LFP phase, whereas the discharge rate is dependent on the lithiation in FP phase. The (de)lithiation rate for ac-oriented two-phase transformation is dependent on Li\(^+\) conductivities of both FePO\(_4\) and LiFePO\(_4\) and limited by the smaller one.

A coherent FP/LFP interface model with lattice mismatch, as shown in Fig. 2(a), is built to evaluate the lattice strain and cell volume change. The detailed derivation is depicted in the supplementary material.\(^{22}\) The crystallographic orientation dependent FP/LFP interfacial strain and cell volume change are calculated with lattice constants\(^{23}\) and elastic constants\(^{24}\) of FePO\(_4\) and LiFePO\(_4\), as shown in Table S1 in the supplementary material. \(\Delta G\) is derived from the plot of \(\Delta G\) versus \(\Delta V/V\) based on available density functional theory (DFT) data.\(^{25}\) As shown in Fig. 2(b), \(\Delta G\) exhibits a quasi-linear correlation with \(\Delta V/V\) as the cell volume varies in the range of \(\pm 6\%\). The slope of \(\Delta G\) versus \(\Delta V/V\) is \(\sim 0.015\) eV, depending on the physical nature of LiFePO\(_4\), including the cell structure, the elastic modulus, and other parameters impacting the interaction between transporting Li\(^+\) and the surrounding atoms in LiFePO\(_4\). \(\Delta V\) and \(\Delta G\) with respect to a specific interface exhibit opposite values for LFP and FP phases, indicating that FP/LFP interfacial strain has opposite impact on the delithiation of LFP phase and lithiation of FP phase. \(\Delta G\) with respect to the ab interface for each phase is the largest, followed by those with respect to the ac interface and the bc interface. LFP cell volume at the bc interface increases 1.57%, and \(\Delta G\) decreases 0.025 eV accordingly. The LFP phase at the ab and ac interfaces experiences compressive strain and increased \(\Delta G\) of Li\(^+\) transport. The cell volumes of FP at ac and ab interfaces expand \(\sim 3.51\%\) and 5.04%, resulting in decreased \(\Delta G\) of 0.058 eV and 0.075 eV, respectively. The cell volume of FP at the bc interface (FP(bc)) is compressed \(\sim 1.55\%\), resulting in an increased \(\Delta G\) of 0.025 eV.

The Li\(^+\) conductivity at strained interface \((\sigma_{\text{i}})\) is correlated to bulk conductivity \((\sigma_0)\) by Eq. (S1) in the supplementary material.\(^{22}\) As shown in Fig. 3, \(\sigma_{\text{i}} \geq \sigma_0\) for LFP and FP
phases along a specific interface orientation is in value. For instance, at 25 °C, \( \lg \sigma_0 \) is 1.26 for FP(ab) and is -1.27 for LFP(ab), indicating that the delithiation Li\(^+\) conductivity decreases to only ~0.05 \( \sigma_0 \) and the lithiation Li\(^+\) conductivity increases to ~18 \( \sigma_0 \). For FP(bc) and LFP(bc), \( \lg \sigma_0 \) is -0.42 and 0.42, respectively. The result suggests that the bc-delithiation Li\(^+\) conductivity increases to ~2.66 \( \sigma_0 \), whereas the bc-lithiation Li\(^+\) conductivity decreases to 0.38 \( \sigma_0 \) at room temperature. \( \lg \sigma_0 \) for FP(ac) and LFP(ac) is 0.85 and -0.98, respectively, but the Li\(^+\) conduction for the lithiation and delithiation is dependent on the smaller \( \sigma_t \) of ~0.10 \( \sigma_0 \) at room temperature since Li\(^+\) diffuses across the ac interface. It is proposed that the (de)lithiation in the cathode composed of LiFePO\(_4\) particles occurs along multiple interface orientations. Depending upon the specific interface orientation, interfacial strain induces 1–2 folds of impact on Li\(^+\) conductivity. Such diverse strain effects explain, to a rational extent, the known inconsistency between the Li\(^+\) conductivity of ~10\(^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s by DFT/molecular dynamics (MD) and the experimental value of ~10\(^{-10}\) cm\(^2\)/s at room temperature.\(^7,19,20,26\)

The Li\(^+\) conduction of the LFP cathode is limited largely at a low temperature or an essentially elevated temperature.\(^27,28\) As shown in Fig. 3, the absolute value \( \lg \sigma_0 \) increases for both FP and LFP phases of all three interface orientations as temperature decreases, indicating that the interfacial strain has more profound impact on Li\(^+\) conductivity at a lower temperature. For instance, \( \lg \sigma_0 \) along FP(ab) increases from 1.12 to 1.60, and \( \lg \sigma_0 \) along LFP(bc) increases from 0.38 to 0.54 as the temperature decreases from 60 °C to ~40 °C. It should be noted that \( \sigma_0 \) typically decreases exponentially as temperature decreases, and, thus, the overall charge/discharge rate still decreases as temperature decreases. Nevertheless, FP/LFP interfacial strain can be utilized to enhance the low-temperature Li\(^+\) conduction. For instance, interfacial strain improves notably the lithiation Li\(^+\) conduction for FP(ab) and the delithiation Li\(^+\) conduction for LFP(bc) according to our results.

It should be noted the two-phase interface should be sharp, and the deviation from the sharp interface in the analysis influences largely the values of lattice strain and activation energy of Li\(^+\) conduction.\(^29\) Experimental evidences for the anisotropy of the FP/LFP interface propagation are yet inadequate at this moment since the phase transformation is correlated with lattice defects,\(^30\) surface states,\(^31\) and particle microstructure.\(^32\) Undoubtedly, in-situ characterizations and atomic-scale simulations at single-particle scale will facilitate future investigations into the FP/LFP interface propagation kinetics.

In summary, the FP/LFP interfacial strain induced lattice cell volume as well as the activation energy of Li\(^+\) transport is investigated. The Li\(^+\) conductivity of LFP is affected largely by the two-phase interfacial strain. At room temperature, Li\(^+\) conductivity at the ac-oriented FP/LFP interface decreases to ~10% of the bulk value. Li\(^+\) conductivity at the bc interface experiences the smallest impact of interfacial strain, and exhibits a 166% increase in delithiation and a 62% decrease in lithiation. Such a lattice strain effect is more pronounced at a lower working temperature.
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